A theoretical approach to the study of weak interactions in chemistry, biology and materials science

Jorge Echeverría Inorganic and Organic Chemistry Department University of Barcelona (Spain)



"BIO-COMP-CHEM" Training School – September 2018 – Bansko (Bulgaria)

NONCOVALENT INTERACTIONS

Noncovalent interactions (NCIs) do not involve the sharing of electrons, but rather involve electrostatics, charge transfer, vdW forces between molecules...

NONCOVALENT INTERACTIONS

Key role in many chemical and biological processes

- · Biomolecular function and activity
- \cdot Molecular reactivity
- \cdot Bulk properties of gases
- \cdot Crystal structure
- \cdot Macroscopic properties of condensed phases
- · Nonlinear optical response of solid state polymers
- \cdot Reaction dynamics in liquids
- \cdot Solvation phenomena
- \cdot Surface and electrode chemistry
- \cdot Tribology and adherence of materials

NONCOVALENT INTERACTIONS

<u>Electrostatics</u>	Strength in kcal/mol
- Ionic (NaCl)	250 – 4000
- Hydrogen bonding (H ₂ O)	5 - 40
- Hole interactions (halogen, chalcogen, etc.)	1 - 20
Van der Waals	
 Debye forces (permanent- induced dipoles) 	1 – 10
- London dispersion (alkanes)	
<u>π-effects</u>	
- π/π stacking (graphite)	1 - 20

- Cation and anion- π (Cl⁻-C₆F₆)

Electronic structure calculations

- Post-HFCCSD(T)"Gold Standard"MP2Good but... tends to overestimate NCIs
- **DFT** Periodic vdW description of surface

Gas phaseB3LYP-D3for dihydrogen bondsLC-ωPBE-D3BJfor metallophilic interactionsM06-2Xfor halogen bonding

Basis sets Triple- ξ mandatory, polarization and diffuse recommended

Benchmarking is usually the safest option!

Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules – QTAIM (AIMAII)

Analyzes the topology of the electron density



R. Bader, Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory. USA: Oxford University Press. (1994)

NCI index calculations (NCIPLOT)





Identification of intermolecular interactions based on the electron density and its derivatives

J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, **132**, 6498-6506; J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2011, **7**, 625-632

<u>Molecular Electrostatic Potential – MEP (GaussView)</u>

- · Assesses the molecules reactivity towards positively or negatively charged reactants
- \cdot Mapped onto a surface reflecting the molecules boundaries



Natural Bond Orbitals – NBO Analysis (NBO6, Gaussian)

Atomic Orbital \rightarrow NAO \rightarrow NHO \rightarrow NBO \rightarrow NLMO \rightarrow Molecular orbital



<u>Energy Decomposition Analysis – EDA (Q-Chem, GAMESS, ADF, etc.)</u>

- There are in fact many EDAs... ALMO-EDA, EDA-NOCV, SAPT(DFT)...
- Decomposes total interaction energy in a supermolecule into several energetic terms that are chemically meaningful

$$\Delta E_{int} = \Delta E_{Pauli} + \Delta E_{elect} + \Delta E_{disp} + \Delta E_{pol} + \Delta E_{CT}$$

Head-Gordon et al. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2016, 18, 23067

Structural database mining

Access to millions of crystal structures: CSD, PDB, ICSD, Mogadoc...



2D histrogram (Heat maps)



General trends



What is the CSD?

The CSD is a molecular repository operated by the CCDC



Initially (1965) part of the University of Cambridge, today an independent non-profit organisation.

What's in the CSD?

The CSD contains X-ray and neutron diffraction analyses of carbon-containing molecules up to 1000 atoms including:

 \cdot Organics

· Compounds of the main group elements

- · Organometallics
- · Metal complexes

The CSD covers peptides of up to 24 residues;

higher oligomers are covered by the Protein Data Bank (see Dr. Cirera's tutorial).

How big is the CSD?



CSD Refcodes (Entry identifiers)

- · Six letters, e.g. ABACOF
- · Two digits additional structure determinations, e.g. ABACOF03

For each entry (when possible):

- Bibliographic info. (Author, journal, DOI ...)
- · Chemical info. (formula, diagram ...)
- · Crystallographic info. (space group, R-factor ...)
- · Extra text info. (disorder, polymorphism ...)

A typical CSD entry

Refcode: ACACCS

Author(s): F.A.Cotton, C.E.Rice, G.W.Rice

Journal: Inorg.Chim.Acta Volume: 24 Page: 231 Year: 1977

Space	group				
1	Name: P21	l/n	Num	ber: 14	
Cell Para	meters				
a:	11.445	b:	4.748	c:	10.325
alpha:	90.00	beta:	91.60	gamma:	90.00
Volume:	560.851				
Reduced	Cell Parar	neters			
a:	4.748	b:	10.325	c:	11.445
alpha:	91.60	beta:	90.00	gamma:	90.00
Volume:	560.848				

Formula: C10 H14 Cr1 O4 Name: bis(2,4-Pentanedionato) chromium







Searching the CSD: ConQuest

CCDC ConQuest (1)			
Eile Edit Options View Datab	ases <u>R</u> esults <u>H</u> elp		
Build Queries Combine	Queries Manage	Hitlists View Results	
Draw			
Peptide	query? ?	()	Edit
Author/Journal			
Name/Class	use this		Edit
Elements	query? Query 2	Radiation Neutron	Delete
Formula			
Space Group			
Unit Cell			
Z/Density			
Experimental			
All Text			
Refcode (entry ID)			
Search Reset			

Searching the CSD: ConQuest



Combine queries



Start searches

Search Setup	
Search Name: search7	Filters Advanced Options
Available Databases:	☐ 3D coordinates determined
CSD version 5.34 (November 2012)	□ R factor
You can search complete database(s) or a subset (e.g., hits found in a previous search)	Not disordered No errors Not polymeric No ione
Select Subset Clear Subset	
Single query being used. Search will find structures: where this query is true: Query 7	C Organics
Start Search (Cancel Reset

Manage hitlists

Build Queries Combine Queries Mana	age Hitlists View Results	101.0	
Combine Hitlists		Hitlist Uverview	
Combination Name: combination2	combination1 (2202 Er	ntries) 🗆 Full overview	w 🔲 Single line
List A List B search1 Search2 nclude deselected entries in: List A List B Generate a List of Entries:	search1 - and search2		
common to List A and List B in either List A or List B in List A hydrothic List B			
	Name	Hits	Туре

View results

🕌 CCDC ConQuest (1): search1 [Search]		_ 🗆 X
Elle Edit Options	jew Databases <u>R</u> esults <u>H</u> elp		
Build Queries C	ombine Queries Manage Hitlists View	Results	
All Text	Refcode: ABEKEX	CSD version 5.32 (November 2010)	ABEKEX
Author/Journal			Analyse Hitlist
Chemical			ABADIR ABAFOZ
Crystal			MABAGAM
Experimental	Et	Et	✓ ABALIZ
Diagram		/	ABEGAP
3D Visualiser			ABEGAP01
CSD internals	~ /		
Search Overview		X	ABEKOH
	()	$\left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \right)$	ABESAB
		\mathcal{A}	ABESEF
			ABESEG01
	s<	270 X	MABIBAO
	\sim	1	ABIBES
			ABIGEY01
			ABIGIB
			ABIXUE
			ABOGEE
			ABOGII
			MABOPIR
			ABOQOX
			ABOVAP
			AROWAO T
			>>
			511 hits
			3%
	Show terminal carbons	Use as Query Detach	Stop Search

3D visualizer



A case study

The n $\rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction



The $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction

This is an open access article published under an ACS AuthorChoice License, which permits copying and redistribution of the article or any adaptations for non-commercial purposes.



pubs.acs.org/accounts



The $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ Interaction

Published as part of the Accounts of Chemical Research special issue "Chemical Biology of Peptides". Robert W. Newberry[†] and Ronald T. Raines^{*,†,‡}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, United States [‡]Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, United States

CONSPECTUS: The carbonyl group holds a prominent position in chemistry and biology not only because it allows diverse transformations but also because it supports key intermolecular interactions, including hydrogen bonding. More recently, carbonyl groups have been found to interact with a variety of nucleophiles, including other carbonyl groups, in what we have termed an $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction. In an $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction, a nucleophile donates lone-pair (n) electron density into the empty π^* orbital of a nearby carbonyl group. Mixing of these orbitals releases energy, resulting in an attractive interaction. Hints of such interactions were evident in small-molecule crystal structures as early as the 1970s, but not until 2001 was the role of such interactions articulated clearly.

These non-covalent interactions were first discovered during investigations into the thermostability of the proline-rich protein collagen, which achieves a robust structure despite a relatively low potential for hydrogen bonding. It was found that by modulating the distance between two carbonyl groups in the peptide backbone, one could alter the conformational preferences of a peptide bond to proline. Specifically, only the trans

conformation of a peptide bond to proline allows for an attractive interaction with an adjacent carbonyl group, so when one increases the proximity of the two carbonyl groups, one enhances their interaction and promotes the trans conformation of the peptide bond, which increases the thermostability of collagen.

- Most common C=O···C=O



- Dictates protein structure and function

- Present in polymers (PLA), aminoacids and drugs.

"We anticipate that the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ *interaction will be found in an ever-expanding array of molecules, particularly those with a high density of carbonyl groups. Revealing their impact will provoke clever experiments, and interpretation will be guided by computational methodology."*

Newberry and Raines, Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50, 1838-1846





Orbital overlap in a Pt(II) complex



Int. unit	Non-int. unit	Norm. O…C (Å)	O…CO angle (°)	M-CO Angle (°)	E(2) n→π* (kcal/mol)
=O	-H	-0.23	104.8	175.4	1.08
=S	-H	-0.12	101.7	171.9	1.79
=0	-CF ₃	-0.19	104.1	175.2	0.87
=0	-CMe ₃	-0.33	103.6	175.0	1.55

3.04 Å

- Sulphur as the donor strengthens the interaction
- All O····CO angles close to the Burgi-Dunitz trajectory
- M-CO angle looses linearity as the interaction makes stronger
- NBO energies larger for good donors (=S, -CMe₃)

Intermolecular contacts







М-СО…СО

R-CO…CO



J. Echeverría, *Inorg. Chem.* **2018**, *57*, 5429-5437

Computational study on the nature of the interaction



J. Echeverría, Inorg. Chem. 2018, 57, 5429-5437

Computational study on the nature of the interaction



J. Echeverría, Inorg. Chem. 2018, 57, 5429-5437

Computational study on the nature of the interaction

Energy Decomposition Analysis

Metal	ΔE pauli	ΔE elect	ΔE frozen	ΔE polariz	ΔЕ ст	ΔE int
Ni	4.17	-4.86	-0.70 (26)	-0.90 (33)	-1.10 (41)	-2.69
Pd	4.24	-5.19	-0.95 (32)	-0.91 (31)	-1.09 (37)	-2.96
Pt	4.11	-5.11	-1.00 (35)	-0.87 (30)	-1.02 (35)	-2.88

All energies in Kcal/mol

- Electrostatics overcome Pauli repulsion in all cases
- Frozen energy increases when descending the group
- Polarization and Charge Transfer decrease when descending the group

J. Echeverría, Inorg. Chem. 2018, 57, 5429-5437

Computational study on the nature of the interaction

Atoms in Molecules (AIM) Theory



metal	ρ	$\nabla^2 ho$	$DI(C_{accep}, O_{donor})$	Н	ε
Ni	0.0094	0.0374	0.0422	0.0020	0.7088
Pd	0.0097	0.0382	0.0421	0.0020	0.7710
Pt	0.0094	0.0377	0.0381	0.0020	0.9354

J. Echeverría, Inorg. Chem. 2018, 57, 5429-5437

Summary & Conclusions

- Carbonyl…carbonyl contacts, both intra and intermolecular are present in metal complexes.

- These contacts are attractive and follow precise geometrical arrangements.

- The origin of the interaction is the electron delocalization from a lone pair to an antibonding empty orbital.

- Electrostatics can also play an important role in the energy stabilization.

- Opens the way to their use in supramolecular chemistry and crystal design.

π/σ -hole interactions

The electron density hole concept



π/σ -hole interactions

Donors can be: anions, lone pairs, π electron density, carbenes, etc.



sp³ carbons?

Structural evidence





Computational analysis (MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ)



E = B, AI, Ga, In



• Interaction strength: 1 < 2 < 3 < 4 and B << Ga < Al

 \cdot Angle α = 90 ± 5°

- · Angle β : for Al and Ga $\approx 140 180^{\circ}$
- · Good match with experimental structures

Nature of the interaction



Good agreement with Interaction strength: B << Ga < Al

Nature of the interaction

ENERGY DECOMPOSITION ANALYSIS (kcal/mol) [B3LYP-D3]

Dimer	$\Delta E_{\mathrm{Pauli}}$	$\Delta E_{\rm Elec}$	ΔE_{Disp}	$\Delta E_{\rm Pol}$	$\Delta E_{\rm CT}$	$\Delta E_{\rm int}$
$[B(CH_3)_3]_2$	6.53	-4.18	-3.63	-0.14	-0.51	-1.94
$[Al(CH_3)_3]_2$	25.44	-16.46	-5.14	-6.44	-3.83	-6.45
$[Ga(CH_3)_3]_2$	27.75	-16.71	-5.58	-5.45	-3.49	-3.32

· Electrostatic: The largest term in all cases (≈ 50%)

- Dispersion: For B is 43%, for Al and Ga only 16 17%
- · Orbital: Significant for Al and Ga; negligible for B

The silane-methane dimer



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE DIRECT®

Chemical Physics Letters 397 (2004) 314-318



www.elsevier.com/locate/cplett

A theoretical study of the dispersion-bound silane-methane dimer

E.R. Johnson, G.A. DiLabio *

National Institute for Nanotechnology, National Research Council of Canada, W6-010 ECERF, 9107-116th Street, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2V4

> Received 29 July 2004; in final form 26 August 2004 Available online 16 September 2004

Abstract

We studied six conformers of the silane-methane dimer using CCSD(T) with basis sets up to aug-cc-pVQZ. The binding energies of the conformers increase with the number of hydrogen contacts between the monomers. The most stable conformer has an eclipsed, C_{3v} arrangement and is bound by 0.81 kcal/mol. The use of counterpoise corrections and basis set extrapolation schemes separately give unsatisfactory binding energies. However, extrapolation of the counterpoise corrected energies provided binding energies in excellent agreement with counterpoise-corrected CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVQZ results. © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



Johnson & DiLabio, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2004, 397, 314-318

The silane-methane dimer revisited



The silane-methane dimer revisited

NCIPlot surfaces





Electrostatic interaction?

The silane-methane dimer revisited



ALMO-EDA (B3LYP-D3) kcal/mol

Dimer	Topol.	ΔE_{Pauli}	ΔE_{Elec}	ΔE_{Disp}	ΔE_{Pol}	ΔE_{CT}	ΔE_{int}
CH₄…SiH₄	1	2.381	-1.51 (48)	-1.37 (44)	-0.04 (1)	-0.20 (7)	-0.74
$FCH_3 \cdots SiH_4$	1	2.034	-1.02 (38)	-1.36 (51)	-0.09 (3)	-0.18 (7)	-0.61
$FCH_3 \cdots SiH_3F$	1	2.096	-0.58 (25)	-1.43 (61)	-0.14 (6)	-0.18 (8)	-0.23
H_3C - CH_3 ···SiH_3H	F 1	3.558	-2.39 (51)	-1.80 (38)	-0.16 (3)	-0.37 (8)	-1.18
$\mathrm{CH}_4{\cdots}\mathrm{SiH}_4$	12	0.953	-0.58 (47)	-0.49 (40)	-0.01 (1)	-0.14 (12)	-0.27

Summary

- Alkyl groups can act as donors in π and σ -hole bonding
- Structural evidence of interaction with triel Lewis acids
- Directional and relatively strong interaction (1 8 kcal/mol)
- Electrostatic nature with significant orbital contribution for Al and Ga
- Electrostatics / dispersion interplay dominates methane-silane
- Interaction strength can be modulated by substitution

J. Echeverría, <u>CrystEngComm</u> **2017**, 19, 6289 - 6296

J. Echeverría, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 32662 - 32669

Anion- π interactions

Electron depleted rings





Dumbar et al., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 68-83

Anion- π interactions

IsoStar plots of contacts between C_6H_6 / C_6F_6 and BF_4^- and ClO_4^-



Lone pair- π interactions

Noncovalent interactions in succinic and maleic anhydride derivatives



CSD Structural Analysis



Echeverría, Cryst. Growth Des. 2018, 18, 506-512

- NCIs are everywhere, they are subtle but not faint
- Important when working additively or cooperatively
- They can affect properties of materials, crystal packing, surface adsorption, etc.
- Structural databases are key to see trends
- In calculations, look for trends to rationalize

Acknowledgements



Dr. Gabriel Aullón



Prof. Santiago Alvarez



IQTC-UB and CSUC for computational facilities